

**SECTION 8  
ELIGIBILITY AND QUALIFICATION  
CRITERIA**

## SECTION 8

### ELIGIBILITY AND QUALIFICATION CRITERIA

This section contains all the criteria that MRIDC will use to evaluate Bids and qualify Bidders through post-qualification. No other factors, methods or criteria shall be used other than specified in this bidding document. The Bidder shall provide all the information requested in the forms included in Annexures.

#### 8 Evaluation

##### 8.1 Adequacy of Technical Proposal

Evaluation of the Bidder's Technical Proposal will include an assessment of the Bidder's technical capacity i.e. experience of having executed similar nature of work and Financial Capacity as detailed in the following paras.

##### 8.2 Eligibility Criteria:

###### 8.2.1 Technical Eligibility Criteria:

- A. The Bidder must have successfully completed / physically completed / substantially completed any of the following during last **07 (seven) years, ending last day of month, previous to the month in which tender is scheduled to be opened:**

Three similar works costing not less than the amount equal to 20% of advertised value of the tender,

or

Two similar works costing not less than the amount equal to 25% of advertised value of the tender,

or

One similar work costing not less than the amount equal to 40% of advertised value of the tender.

- B. In case of JV, either the JV firm or lead member of the JV firm must satisfy the Technical Eligibility Criteria defined above and each other partner of JV (excluding lead partner) shall have 5 years of experience in construction work.

The estimated tender cost of various components is placed in **Section 11: Bill of Quantities and Financial Proposal**, as part of the tender documents.

#### Notes:

##### i. Definitions:

**Similar Work:** Construction of Road Under Bridge (RUB) / Limited Height Subway (LHS) / Road Over Bridge (ROB) / Rail Viaduct / Road Viaduct / Railway or Roadway Bridges / Flyover, with or without the portion within Railway boundary or over Railway track **with any Government, Semi Government or Public Sector Undertaking (PSU) organisation shall be treated as similar work.**

**Successfully completed:** It shall mean, that the work must be satisfactorily completed in all respect.

**Physically completed:** It shall mean, that the work should be physically completed in all respect, but the final bill may be under process.

**Substantially completed:** Value of work completed should be 90% or more of the latest contract value.

- ii. The Contractor has to submit certificate duly verified by Client whether the work is **successfully completed or physically completed or substantially completed** and in case of substantially completed work, the issued certificate from client should clearly indicate the percentage completion of work with respect to the latest contract value.
- iii. For successfully completed or physically completed works, value of work done shall be updated up to the end of previous month in which tender is opened from the month in which the work has been completed, in case of successfully completed work or from the month in which the work has been physically completed, in case of physically completed work, assuming 5% inflation for Indian Rupees every year.

In case of substantially completed work, no updating of the value of work completed, as given in the clause above, shall be applicable.

- iv. The successfully completed / physically completed / substantially completed work experience certificate issued from Govt. / Semi Govt. / PSU only will be considered for evaluation.

### **8.2.2 Financial Eligibility Criteria:**

- i. **Contractual Turnover:**

The Bidder must have received contractual payments in the previous three financial years and the current financial year up to the end of the previous month in which tender is opened, at least 150% of the advertised value of the tender. The Bidders shall submit Certificates to this effect which may be an attested Certificate from the concerned department / client, Audited Balance Sheet or statement duly certified by the Chartered Accountant.

In case of JV Firm, either the JV firm or lead member of the JV firm must satisfy the Financial Eligibility Criteria defined above and financial turnover of each other partner of JV (Excluding lead member) shall not be less than 10% of the financial eligibility as specified above.

ii. **Bid Capacity:**

The Bidders must have available bid capacity equal to or more than the total bid value of the present tender. The available bid capacity shall be calculated as under:

$$\text{Available Bid Capacity} = [A \times N \times 2] - B$$

Where

A = Maximum value of construction works executed and payment received in any one financial year, from among the current financial year up to date of opening of tender and last three financial years immediately preceding the current financial year, taking into account the completed as well as work in progress.

N= Number of years prescribed for completion of work for which bids has been invited. If it is mentioned in number of days, in that case "N" will be calculated as number of days divided by 365 days.

B = Value of existing commitments and balance amount of ongoing works with the Bidder to be completed in next 'N' years. Proportionate value will be taken if the works falls during the different financial year .

Note:

- (a) The Bidder(s) shall furnish the details of existing commitments and balance amount of ongoing works with bidder as per the prescribed proforma of MRIDC for statement of all works in progress and the works which are awarded to bidder but not yet started up to the date of opening of tender. In case of no works in hand, a 'NIL' statement should be furnished. This statement should be submitted duly verified by Chartered Accountant.
- (b) The Value of Balance work will be linearly distributed to compute value of work to be executed during the period "N" years.
- (c) In case of JV, the Bidder(s) must furnish the details of existing commitments and balance amount of ongoing works with each member of JV as per the prescribed proforma of MRIDC for statement of all works in progress and the works which are awarded to bidder but yet not started up to the date of opening of tender. In case of no works in hand, a 'NIL' statement should be furnished. This statement should be submitted duly verified by Chartered Accountant.
- (d) Value of a completed work/work in progress/work awarded but yet not started for a Member in an earlier JV shall be reckoned only to the extent of the concerned member's share in that JV for the purpose of satisfying his/her compliance to the above-mentioned technical eligibility criteria in the tender under consideration.

- (e) The arithmetic sum of individual "bid capacity" of all the members shall be taken as JV's "bid capacity".
- (f) In case, the Bidder/s failed to submit the above statement along with offer, their/his offer shall be considered as incomplete and will be liable to be rejected.
- (g) The available bid capacity of Bidder shall be assessed based on the details submitted by the bidder. The Bidder shall calculate the value of work commitments in hand linearly, as given in Clause (b) above. However, if the details submitted is not according to linear calculation for work in hand, MRIDC will recalculate the same for the working out the value of Bid Capacity of the Bidder, and the same shall be acceptable and binding to the Bidder. In case, the available Bid Capacity is lesser than estimated cost of work put to tender, his offer shall not be considered even if he has been found eligible in other eligibility criteria as per the tender requirement.
- (h) Even though the bidders meet the above qualifying criteria, they are subjected to be disqualified if they have made misleading or false representation in the forms statement and attachments submitted as proof of the qualification requirements and / or record of post-performance such as abandoning the works, not properly completing the contract, inordinate delays in completion litigation history or financial failures etc.
- (i) In case, if the certificates regarding eligibility criteria submitted by the Firm / Agency is found to be forged / false or incorrect at any time during process of evaluation of Bids, it shall lead to forfeiture of the Bid EMD besides banning of business for five years.
- (j) In case, if the certificates submitted by Firm /Agency is found to be false / forged or incorrect at any time after the award of contract, it will lead to termination of the contract, along with forfeiture of EMD/SD and Performance Guarantee besides any other action provided in the contract including banning of business for five years.

**8.3 Documents testifying bidder previous experience and financial status should be produced along with the tender.**

Bidder(s) shall submit along with his / their tender:

- i. Certificates and testimonials regarding contracting experience for the type of job for which tender is invited with list of works carried out in the past.
- ii. Certificates which may be an attested Certificate from the client, Audited Balance Sheet duly certified by the Chartered Accountant etc regarding contractual payments received in the past.

- iii. Completion Certificate from respective Govt. / Semi Govt. / PSU issued by the officer not below the rank of Executive Engineer.

#### **8.4 Specialized Subcontractors**

If permitted under bid document, only the specific experience of Subcontractors for specialized works permitted by the Employer will be considered. The general experience and financial resources of the Specialized Subcontractors shall not be added to those of the Bidder for purposes of qualification of the Bidder.

MRIDC permits Bidders to propose Specialized Subcontractors for the following activities:

- a. General Electrification Works
- b. Horticulture
- c. Aesthetic Finish
- d. Any other item of BOQ if approved by MRIDC

-----